

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0830026 DATE: <u>11/08/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:48 PM</u> DEPART:	<u>1:41 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: OCALA NORTH READY-MIX & BLOCK PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 619 SW 17th St			
OCALA 34471-3623			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO       PHONE: (407)841-840         Email:       Mobile: (407)312-711         CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO       PHONE: (407)841-840         Email:       Mobile: (407)312-711         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/25/2011 / 4/25/2016       (end date)	9 )9		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DADE H. ONGER INFRODUCTION MEDITING			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	Yes		

### Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	Date of last inspection: 3/8/1994  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
	<ul><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 3/8/1994 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \Delta / N/A \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	_	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes - Yes	□ No □ No

### Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	Date of last inspection: 3/8/1994  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<b>P</b> /	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ed	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	- ⊠ Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 6 –CCB Plant-RMbatcher/truckloadoutw/shroud&cent.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1.	Date of last inspection: 3/8/1994  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
	emissions by:	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 7 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 3/8/1994</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% b. If tested:)% opacity. Were the visible emisc. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	opacity)?	Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No
DINEY STEED ORGANIZATIONS D. L. (2.20(.4140.)	-	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2),	<u>F.A.C.</u>	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading,</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Park</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Avaus, Lark	mg Areas, Stock rifes, and Tarus	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take emissions by:	reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yare		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-st</li> </ol>		Yes No
control emissions?	······································	Yes No
<ol> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or</li> </ol>	r work areas to reduce airborne	_
particulate matter?4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win		Yes No
particulate matter from stock piles?		Yes No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	missions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt;</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	7)?	Yes No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of the second secon	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00$	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - Nes	☐ No
<u>G</u> l	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	<b>N V</b>	
	<ul><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>	- 🔼 Yes	∐ No
2	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
Э.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

<ul> <li>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</li> <li>1. Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If an example of the concrete batching and concrete batching a</li></ul>		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ( <i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i> ) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo	cal Air Program by telephone,	
<ul> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b</li> </ul>	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] s days following a relocation? Yes No on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpor If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	construction or air operation permit, that separate permit: se (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No as	
CHANGES	(check M only one	
CHANGES  (check ✓ only one box for each question Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		
Sangeeta Sharma	11/08/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Ms. Sangeeta Sharma inspected this facility on November 8<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Ms. Sharma talked to Mr. Jim Lane ( Plant Manager ). Ms. Sharma walked around the facility and found everything in compliance. There are 2 silos and 3 dust collectors at the facility. Required documents were reveiwed at the time of the inspection. So facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection.